1	MATTHEW D. METZGER (#240437)					
2	mmetzger@belvederelegal.com BELVEDERE LEGAL, PC					
3	1777 Borel Place, Ste 314 San Mateo, CA 94402 t. (415) 513-5980 f. (415) 513-5985 e. mmetzger@belvederelegal.com					
4						
5						
6	Attorney for Creditor Pennsylvania Lumberman's					
7	Mutual Insurance Company					
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	OAKLAND DIVISION					
11						
12	In re	Case No. 23-40134 WJL 11				
13	& LINDSTROM, INC.	MOTION TO ALLOW FILING OF LATE PROOF OF CLAIM;				
14	Debtor.	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT				
15		Date: June 14, 2023 Time: 10:30 a.m.				
16		Place: In-Person or Zoom				
17		Webinar/AT&T Teleconference 1300 Clay Street,				
18		Courtroom 220 Oakland, CA 94612				
19		Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty, III				
20		C (((DL))(ICW) 1 1				
21		nce Company ("PLMIC") hereby moves entry				
22	of an order: 1) authorizing the filing of a late proof of claim and 2) confirming that the filing of					
23	said proof of claim does not waive PLMIC's jury trial rights on the noncore pre-petition state					
24	court action and the jurisdictional right to continue to prosecute said state court action in Santa					
25	Clara County Superior Court, after entry of any future order granting relief from the automatic					
26	stay; and 3) related relief (the "Motion") A true and correct copy of the proposed order granting					
27	the requested relief is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Motion is based on the matters set fort					
28	MOTION TO ALLOW FILING OF LATE PROOF OF CLA	AIM: MPA IN SUPPORT				
Case		ered: 05/17/23 18:02:20 Page 1 of 9				

herein, the memorandum of points and authorities included herein, and the Declaration of Matthew D. Metzger filed in support of the Motion. The Motion is made pursuant to *Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. Partnership* 507 US 380, 382 (1993) (the "Pioneer Factors").

SUMMARY OF FACTS

- 1. PLMIC is a Plaintiff creditor in the pre-petition litigation matter *Pennsylvania Lumberman's Mutual Insurance Company v. Ericksen, Arbuthnot, Kilduff, Day & Lindstrom, Inc.*, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 21CIV391434 (the "State Court Case"). A true and correct copy of the Complaint PLMIC filed in the State Court Case is attached as Exhibit A to PLMIC's proposed proof of claim. See Metzger Decl., Exh. 1.
- 2. On March 21, 2023, Ericksen Arbuthnot *et al.* filed a Notice of Stay of Proceeds in the State Court, which notice did not include PLMIC. Metzger Decl. Exh. 2. The Debtor served the notice of stay on PLMIC care of Mr. Michael Cooper, former counsel for PLMIC, whose representation terminated December 29, 2022; however PLMIC substituted in Mr. David Helbraun as new counsel on December 29, 2022. Metzger Decl. Exh. 3.
- 3. Via separate motion, PLMIC will move for relief from the Automatic Stay to proceed with the Action in order to recover only against applicable insurance coverage.
- 4. Here, PLMIC seeks relief to file a late Proof of Claim to clarify that the demand of PLMIC's damages is three-million dollars (\$3,000,000.00) plus prejudgment interest and confirm that PLMIC's damages shall only be recoverable from the policy limit of the Debtor's applicable errors and omissions insurance policies.
- 1. Through pre-petition discovery in state court, PLMIC identifies the Debtor's applicable policy as insurance policy #[REDACTED]500-05, with \$5 million limits of liability per claim and in the aggregate, issued by Aspen Insurance Company, B&B Protector Plans, Inc. d/b/a The Lawyer's Protector Plan (the "E&O Policy").
- 5. Before filing PLMIC's proof of claim, PLMIC also requests confirmation from the Court that PLMIC's filing of the proof of claim does not waive PLMIC's right to a jury trial rights

Case

1	on the noncore action and the jurisdictional right to try the matter in Santa Clara County Superior			
2	Court.			
3	RELIEF REQUESTED			
4	By this Motion, the DIP requests the following relief:			
5	1. PLMIC may file its proof claim attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u> to the Declaration of			
6	Matthew D. Metzger filed in support of the Motion.			
7	2. PLMIC's filing of the proof of claim does not waive PLMIC's jury trial rights on			
8	the noncore action and PLMIC's jurisdictional right to try the matter in Santa Clara County			
9	Superior Court, especially where, as here, PLMIC agrees to limit any recovery as collectible			
10	against or from the proceeds of the Ericksen Arbuthnot et al. lawyers professional liability			
11	insurance policy.			
12	3. PLMIC has standing to file an objection to the Debtor's proposed Plan of			
13	Reorganization for Small Business under Chapter 11, Dkt. # 107, (the "Plan") by or before the			
14	June 16, 2023 deadline to file any objection to the Debtor's Plan. PLMIC will only need to object			
15	if the Debtor does not stipulate to separate classification and treatment as requested hereinabove.			
16	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES			
17	Whether neglect is excusable calls for an equitable determination, taking into account all			
18	relevant circumstances. Factors considered include:			
19	• danger of prejudice to the debtor;			
20	 length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings; 			
21	• reasons for the delay, including whether it was within the movant's reasonable control;			
22	and			
23	• whether the movant acted in good faith. Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick			
24	Assocs. Ltd. Partnership (1993) 507 US 380, 385.			
25	Here, for the reasons that follow, PLMIC meets the excusable neglect standard.			
26				
27				

- 1. Danger or Prejudice to the Debtor: PLMIC see little if any prejudice to the Debtor insofar as PLMIC is limiting recovery to the policy limits of the Debtor's errors and omissions insurance.
- 2. Length of the Delay and Potential Impact on Judicial Proceedings: the Claims bar date was April 14, 2023. PLMIC seeks little if any impact. If the Debtor will not stipulate, PLMIC reserves the right to object to the Debtor's plan, to argue that PLMIC's general unsecured claim should be separately classified and receive separate treatment, i.e. payable only from the errors & omissions policy. Due to the fact that any payment would be sourced only from the Debtor's errors and omissions policy, said separate classification and treatment could be clarified via an order confirming plan as modified, without the need from the Debtor to have to re-serve an amended plan.
- 3. Reason for the Delay: PLMIC never received service of the Meeting of Creditors Notice. The Debtor omitted PLMIC from the original creditor matrix in the skeleton filing but added PLMIC as a creditor when the Debtor filed the balance of schedules on February 17, 2023. However, the Debtor scheduled PLMIC with a mailing address of PLMIC's former counsel, Mr. Michael Cooper. Mr. Michael Cooper withdrew from representation of PLMIC on December 29, 2022 and Mr. David Helbraun was substituted as new counsel. Thus, any and all mailing served on the creditor matrix including but not limited to the Notice of the Meeting of Creditors was never served on PLMIC, which did not receive copies of subsequent mailings sent to Mr. Cooper's mailing address.
- 4. Movant Acted in Good Faith. Even if PLMIC's new counsel, Mr. David Helbraun learned of the Notice of Stay after filing, the Debtor did not serve the Notice of Stay to Mr. Helbraun's office. Additionally, the Notice of Stay did not include PLMIC as a creditor as PLMIC was not included in the original matrix created on the Petition Date. The Debtor did ultimately add PLMIC as a creditor with the Debtor filed the balance of schedules but did not use Mr. Helbraun's address. All bankruptcy mailings were sent to former counsel's address. PLMIC, care of current state court counsel, had no way of knowing the deadlines or whether and for what

Case

1	amount the PLMIC was even scheduled. PLMIC attempted in good faith to retain bankruptcy				
2	counsel but was unable to find willing bankruptcy counsel until present counsel was retained on				
3	May 8, 2023.				
4	See Metzger Decl., ¶¶ 3-18, Exh. 1-3.				
5	CONCLUSION				
6	WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the requested relief is well-taken. PLMIC				
7	respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion and enter any such further relief as the Court				
8	deems just, necessary, and proper.				
9					
10	DATED: May 17, 2023 BELVEDERE LEGAL, PC				
11					
12	By: /s/ Matthew D. Metzger				
13	Matthew D. Metzger				
14	Attorney for Creditor Pennsylvania Lumberman's Mutual Insurance Company				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

EXHIBIT A

- 1	1						
1	MATTHEW D. METZGER (#240437) mmetzger@belvederelegal.com						
2	BELVEDERE LEGAL, PC 1777 Borel Place, Ste 314						
3	San Mateo, CA 94402 t. (415) 513-5980 f. (415) 513-5985 e. mmetzger@belvederelegal.com						
4							
5	Attorney for Creditor Pennsylvania Lumberman's						
6	Mutual Insurance Company						
7							
8							
9							
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
12	OAKLAND DIVISION						
13	In re	Case No.	23-40134 WJL 11				
14	ERICKSEN, ARBUTHNOT, KILDUFF, DAY	ORDER	GRANTING MOTION TO				
15	& LINDSTROM, INC.	ALLOW OF CLA	FILING OF LATE PROOF				
16	Debtor.		June 14, 2023				
17			10:30 a.m. In-Person or Zoom				
18 19			Webinar/AT&T Teleconference 1300 Clay Street,				
20			Courtroom 220 Oakland, CA 94612				
20		Judge	: Hon. William J. Lafferty, III				
22	The Motion to Allow Filing of Late Proof of Claim (the "Motion") filed by creditor						
23	Pennsylvania Lumberman's Mutual Insurance Company ("PLMIC") came before the Court for a						
24	regularly scheduled hearing at the above cate and time. Notice and service being proper, good						
25	cause appearing, the Court GRANTS the Motion.						
26	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:						
27	1. The Motion is GRANTED.						
-	2. PLMIC may file its proof claim attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of						

Matthew D. Metzger filed in support of the Motion.

- 3. PLMIC's filing of the proof of claim does not waive PLMIC's jury trial rights on the noncore action and PLMIC's jurisdictional right to try the matter in Santa Clara County Superior Court, especially where, as here, PLMIC agrees to limit any recovery as collectible against or from the proceeds of the Ericksen Arbuthnot *et al.* lawyers professional liability insurance policy #[REDACTED]500-05, with \$5 million limits of liability per claim and in the aggregate, issued by Aspen Insurance Company, B&B Protector Plans, Inc. d/b/a The Lawyer's Protector Plan (the "E&O Policy").
- 4. PLMIC has standing to file an objection to the Debtor's proposed Plan of Reorganization for Small Business under Chapter 11, Dkt. # 107, (the "Plan") by or before the June 16, 2023 deadline to file any objection to the Debtor's Plan.

* * * END OF ORDER * * *

COURT SERVICE LIST

Case 28-40134ANTHOE #122ONFIRED LOS 17/25NG EFTE PROPERTY 23-18:102:20 Page 9 of 9